Exhibit 23

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION		
3	NETLIST, INC., (CAUSE NO. 2:21-CV-463-JRG		
4	Plaintiff, (
5	vs. (
6 7	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., (et al.,) MARSHALL, TEXAS (APRIL 20, 2023		
8	Defendants.) 8:30 A.M.		
9			
10			
11	VOLUME 5		
12			
13	TRIAL ON THE MERITS		
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP		
15	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE and a jury		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	SHAWN MCROBERTS, RMR, CRR 100 E. HOUSTON STREET		
23	MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670 (903) 923-8546		
24	shawn_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov		
25			

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRELL & MANELLA, LLP - LOS ANGELES
3	1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 900
4	LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-4276 (310) 203-7096
5	BY: MR. JASON SHEASBY MS. LISA GLASSER
6	MR. BEN MANZIN-MONNIN MR. MICHAEL TEZYAN
7	IRELL & MANELLA -
8	NEWPORT BEACH 840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE
9	SUITE 400 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660
10	(949) 760-0991 BY: MR. STEPHEN PAYNE
11	McKOOL SMITH, P.C MARSHALL
12	104 E. HOUSTON ST., SUITE 300 MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670
13	(903) 923-9000 BY: MR. SAM BAXTER
14	MS. JENNIFER TRUELOVE MR. KEVIN BURGESS
15	FOR THE DEFENDANTS: FISH & RICHARDSON, PC -
16	WASHINGTON DC 1000 MAINE AVE. SW, SUITE 1000
17	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 783-5070
18	BY: MR. RUFFIN CORDELL MR. MICHAEL MCKEON
19	MS. LAUREN DEGNAN
20	FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C DALLAS
21	1717 MAIN STREET, SUITE 5000 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201
22	(214) 747-5070 BY: MR. MATTHEW COLVIN
23	MR. THOMAS REGER
24	
25	

	55=5:	
1	GILLAM & SMITH, 303 SOUTH WASHI	
2		3 75670
3		
4	4 OFFICIAL REPORTER: SHAWN M. MCROBE 100 E. HOUSTON	
5		S 75670
6		
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	0	
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	5	

INDEX

EXAMINATION

Witness Name	Page
PAUL MEYER	
Direct By MR. REGER	1159
Cross By MR. SHEASBY	1177
Redirect By MR. REGER	1216

1	THE COURT: Be seated, please.
2	Are the parties prepared to read into the record those
3	items from the list of pre-admitted exhibits used during
4	yesterday's portion of the trial?
5	MS. SMITH: We are, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Please proceed.
7	MS. SMITH: Thank you.
8	Yesterday, Your Honor, Samsung used DTX 4, JTX 17, JTX
9	18, JTX 52, JTX 53, and PX 1662.
10	THE COURT: All right. Any objection from
11	Plaintiff?
12	MS. TRUELOVE: No objection, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Does Plaintiff have a similar rendition
14	to read into the record?
15	MS. TRUELOVE: We do not, Your Honor. We did not
16	use any new or additional exhibits yesterday.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.
18	Is there anything the Court needs to hear from either
19	party on before I bring in the jury?
20	MR. SHEASBY: Nothing from Plaintiff, Your Honor.
21	MR. CORDELL: Nothing from Defendants, Your Honor.
22	Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury, please.
24	(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)
25	THE COURT: Good morning, members of the jury.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

As to the issue of the starting date for damages for the '054 Patent, the Court grants JMOL that the damages period for the '054 Patent will not be prior to January the 25th, 2022.

With regard to the issue of foundry products, Plaintiff's counsel is correct that where claims and defenses are typically abandoned or jettisoned in advance of the trial, the Court's practice is not to rule on those substantively, but in this case the foundry products issue was litigated throughout the pretrial process. At the conclusion of the pretrial process, it was made clear to the Court that the parties intended it to be a part of the trial on the merits before the jury. It's that reason that the Court invited both sides to submit suggested instructions for it to consider in its preliminary jury instructions regarding the JDLA, its termination date, and the issue of foundry products as being excluded from the license provisions of the JDLA.

With regard to the foundry products issue under the JDLA, notwithstanding what happened after the jury was impaneled and the evidence began, this was a live issue that had not been abandoned or narrowed through the impaneling of the jury and the beginning of the trial. Therefore, I am going to rule on the foundry products issue and I'm going to grant JMOL that there is no infringement prior to the July 15th, 2021, date with the termination of the JDLA with regard to foundry products or anything else prior to that time. July 15th,

2020, being the termination date of the JDLA. 1 And those are the Court's rulings on motions argued under Rule 50(a). 3 It's a quarter of 2:00, counsel. At this juncture, I 4 would typically invite you into my office where we would have 5 6 an informal charge conference and review the current status of the proposed final jury instructions and verdict form. I 7 don't have room for everybody that's in here to be in my 8 office so, quite honestly, let's take a 15-minute recess and 9 then I will come back in the courtroom, you can stay where you 10 are, and we'll just conduct the informal charge conference in 11 12 the courtroom off the record. The Courtroom Deputy and the court reporter won't be present, and we'll informally discuss 13 what you most recently submitted where you have disputes and 14 where the Court has questions. 15 16 So we stand in recess for 15 minutes. 17 (The proceedings were concluded at 1:45 p.m.) 18 19 2.0 2.1 2.2 23 24 25